Representation of Race and Ethnicity in Global Literature: A Comparative Analysis

Alaknanda Matade

Department of English, Dr D.Y. Patil Arts, Commerce and Science College, Pimpri, India
Email: aluranga7@gmail.com

Abstract—**This paper provides a systematic review of scholarship published between 2014 and 2025 on race and ethnicity in English literary studies. Its purpose is to examine how recent research has broadened the field across geographical, theoretical, and pedagogical dimensions while identifying emerging gaps. A curated body of representative works was selected through database searches and thematic clustering, with attention to regional diversity and interdisciplinary approaches. The review highlights five main areas: postcolonial geographies that trace the intersections of space, migration, and colonial legacies; studies of identity negotiation across diasporic, Asian-American, and Latinx contexts; innovations in African and Afro-Caribbean writing; Indigenous literatures that reassert cultural sovereignty; and digital storytelling projects that expand access and reshape pedagogical practice. Results indicate that while the field has grown more global and methodologically diverse, engagement with non-canonical voices, Indigenous perspectives, and digital literatures remains uneven. The study concludes that future work must integrate literary analysis with digital humanities and pedagogical inquiry to address these gaps. By synthesizing developments across multiple traditions, this review demonstrates how race and ethnicity remain central to understanding literary production, cultural negotiation, and educational practice in the twenty-first century.**

Keywords—**race and ethnicity, English literary studies, postcolonial theory, diaspora, indigenous literature, digital storytelling, pedagogy, global literature digital humanities**

I. INTRODUCTION

The study of English literature has increasingly intersected with cultural studies and critical examinations of race and ethnicity, generating a dynamic and evolving field of inquiry. This paper surveys key scholarship published between 2014 and 2025 to map how literary works across Anglophone and non-Anglophone contexts engage with racial and ethnic identities. By focusing on this period, it offers a snapshot of evolving themes, methods, and theoretical frameworks employed to interrogate structures of power in literature. Despite substantial scholarship on postcolonial and critical race literatures, there remains a gap in integrated studies that combine multiple critical frameworks to analyse recent global developments. This paper addresses this gap by synthesising postcolonial, Critical Race Theory (CRT) with intersectionality, and decolonial thought, and situating them within a comparative global literary perspective.

This analysis primarily draws on postcolonial theory, which examines the cultural, political, and economic legacies of colonialism. Edward Said [1] illustrates how Western narratives have constructed "the East" as exotic and subordinate. Gayatri Spivak's work on the subaltern problematises the ethics and politics of speaking for marginalised groups, while Homi Bhabha's concepts of hybridity and mimicry reveal ambivalent cultural spaces where colonised societies adapt, resist, and reframe meaning.

Complementing this is Critical Race Theory, which situates race as a systemic construct embedded within law, institutions, and cultural discourse. Crenshaw's [2] concept of intersectionality how race, gender, class, and other identities overlap—provides a lens for unpacking layered forms of oppression within literary texts [2].

Decolonial thought, advanced by thinkers such as Quijano [3], further enriches this analysis. Their concept of the coloniality of power highlights how colonial hierarchies endure beyond formal independence, and their advocacy for "reoriginalization" underscores the need to centre marginalised epistemologies. Finally, a truly global approach demands moving beyond Eurocentric and Anglophone perspectives. Indian literature, for example, integrates classical heritage with contemporary concerns such as caste, gender, and environment, thereby contributing richly to postcolonial discourse (Hemamalini and Suguna [4]). By weaving these theoretical frameworks together, this paper offers a multi-layered mapping of contemporary literature as a site of negotiation, resistance, and transformation, and proposes a comparative model for future research on race, ethnicity, and power in global literary studies.

II. LITERATURE REVIEW

The literature review synthesises twenty carefully selected studies from the 2014–2025 corpus, now updated with the dataset, that demonstrate the intersection of English literature, cultural studies, and critical examinations of race and ethnicity. These studies have been organised into five thematic clusters that reflect the dominant trends and theoretical frameworks within this interdisciplinary field.

Postcolonial theory investigates the dynamics of cultural encounters in which imperial power asserts its dominance by positioning one culture and its accompanying ideology as superior to another [5]. Ashcroft *et al.* [6] define the field as the study of societies "affected by the imperial process from the moment of colonization to the present day", extending its scope beyond political conquest to encompass what Nandy describes as the "colonization of minds, selves and cultures" (cited in Ref. [5]). Building on foundational contributions from Spivak [7], and Bhabha [8], postcolonial theory highlights how colonial discourse functioned as a weapon to sustain subjugation and continues to shape literary production. The framework's relevance is demonstrated across diverse traditions and historical moments: early twentieth-century Mashriqi memoirs [9], African narratives confronting colonial legacies (Yenjela) [10], South Asian anglophone

fiction exploring caste and gendered struggles, and contemporary Indigenous writings that reassert cultural sovereignty. This geographical and temporal range highlights the enduring significance of postcolonial theory in understanding how literature navigates imperial legacies and reimagines identity in global contexts.

The evolution of digital literary studies demonstrates how computational methods can extend traditional approaches to the analysis of race and ethnicity in literature [11]. Rommel [12] argued that computer-assisted analysis allows scholars to move beyond small-scale textual criticism by enabling corpus-wide examinations that reveal patterns in racial representation not easily visible through close reading alone. Such methods highlight how features such as dialect markers, recurrent imagery, and syntactic structures contribute to the literary construction of ethnic identities. By examining word frequency, co-occurrence, and textual distribution, digital analysis can illuminate subtle mechanisms through which texts perpetuate or challenge racial stereotypes. Yet, as Rommel [12] cautions, computation does not replace interpretation: "no final result, let alone an 'interpretation' of a text, can be obtained by computing power alone" (p. 90). Contemporary digital humanities thus combine quantitative pattern recognition with qualitative cultural critique, offering a productive synthesis that makes it possible to map large-scale textual trends while maintaining the interpretive sensitivity required to understand how literature engages questions of race, cultural resistance, and postcolonial experience.

A. Postcolonial Literary Geographies and Transnational Networks

A significant cluster of scholarship examines the spatial and transnational dimensions of postcolonial literature, with a particular focus on how literary texts map cultural identities across geographic boundaries. Goldiş [13] provides a critical examination of "Meltzl and World Literature Studies: A Case of Mirrored Colonialism," interrogating how early comparative literature projects may have replicated colonial power structures even while attempting to create more inclusive literary canons. This critical reassessment of foundational figures in world literature studies highlights the need for continuous decolonial reflection within the field. Helgesson [14] further explores the relationship between "World Literature and Decolonisation," arguing that while there is a decolonising potential in making the world's literary cultures visible, the critical dimension of world literature scholarship makes us aware of its colonial genealogy. Taking Mazisi Kunene's epic poem Emperor Shaka the Great as a key example, this article discusses how the dual potential of world literature might contribute to a "decolonised" mode of literary reading. Adeoba [15] also contributes to this discussion by analysing "Jay Wright's errant texts and the idea of world literature," placing debates in postcolonial and transnational literature in dialogue.

B. Postcolonial Geographies

Postcolonial geographies interrogate how colonial power inscribes and contests space, revealing how communities remember, migrate, and resist within and beyond former imperial borders. While foundational scholarship has often focused on European and North American contexts, recent studies foreground South Asian, Middle Eastern, and African perspectives to capture diverse spatial narratives of identity, diaspora, and resistance.

In the Middle East, Bugeja [10] reconceptualises memoir's "liminal spaces" as representational spaces that mediate between historical contingency and collective memory. Through close readings of life-writings by Mourid Barghouti, Amin Maalouf, Orhan Pamuk, Amos Oz, and Wadad Makdisi Cortas, he demonstrates how Mashriqi memoirs map "open wounds of history" onto narrative thresholds, negotiating trauma, memory, and resistance [9]. This work situates liminality as a critical lens for understanding the intersections of space, history, and political consciousness in contemporary Middle Eastern contexts.

South Asian perspectives extend this analysis to diasporic mobilities and contested cartographies. Gajarawala et al. [16] examines how anglophone Indian women's fiction locates caste, class, and gender struggles within urban and transnational spaces, presenting identity as a dynamic negotiation. Gajarawala et al. theorises postcolonial space as a "site of overlapping sovereignties and subaltern claims," demonstrating how Indian writers redraw colonial maps through their literature. Gajarawala et al. highlights how rural-to-urban migrations in Hindi novels transform cities into liminal zones where displaced subjects renegotiate belonging and community, reframing the urban as a space of contestation.

In Africa, Yenjela [11] analyses urban slum narratives in Nigerian and Kenyan fiction as counter-sites that expose enduring colonial infrastructural legacies and contemporary inequalities. These narratives reframe official spatial planning as part of persistent power struggles, offering critical insight into the politics of urban marginality.

The Bloomsbury Handbook of Postcolonial Print Cultures [16] further broadens postcolonial geographies by recovering diverse print-based forms—from grassroots pamphlets in West Africa to street art in coastal India—that articulate anti-colonial imaginaries. These essays demonstrate how non-archival and anti-institutional texts produce material geographies of dissent, articulating visions of collective liberation "from below" and reimagining futures beyond colonial frameworks [16].

Collectively, these contributions signal a shift toward multiscalar postcolonial geographies that attend to how space is narrated, memorialised, and politicised across diverse contexts. They enrich our understanding of identity, diaspora, and decolonial mapping, revealing the significance of space as both a lived and contested terrain in postcolonial thought.

C. Medical Humanities and Literary Intersectionality

An emerging and particularly innovative cluster explores the intersection of medical narratives, postcolonial theory, and literary analysis. Liu [17] presents a groundbreaking study on "Immunological Poetics and Postcolonial Echoes: Traversing the Medical Narratives from T.S. Eliot to J.M. Coetzee." This work demonstrates how postcolonial literary analysis can illuminate how medical narratives both reflect and construct racialised understandings of health, illness, and bodily experience. The study reveals how authors such as T.S. Eliot and J.M. Coetzee navigate the complex terrain where medical knowledge intersects with colonial power structures,

offering new insights into how literature can both perpetuate and resist medicalised forms of racial categorisation.

D. Digital methods and archives

Digital storytelling and digital archives together constitute powerful methodologies for interrogating race and ethnicity within literary and cultural studies. Carpio [18] illustrates how student-led digital projects such as Race and the Digital counteract disparities in digital literacy by fostering collaborative online communities that foreground racialised experiences. These projects task students with creating multimedia archives, blogs, and digital ethnographies to critique how technology both reinforces and resists inequity (pp. 614–616). Complementing this, Kim [19] situates the phenomenon of "digital archive fever" within the cultural politics of identity, arguing that widespread access to content management systems has democratised who creates and curates archives. This extends archival worthiness to social media, video games, and other vernacular forms while challenging traditional positivist notions of evidence and inclusion (pp. 2–4). By combining Carpio's [18] praxis of engaged digital storytelling with Kim's [19] critical archival models, scholars and educators can harness digital platforms to amplify minoritarian narratives, develop participatory research skills, and reconceptualise archives as dynamic knowledge models that "grind against" archival epistemologies rooted solely in documentation. These interventions expand pedagogical possibilities, equipping students with professional digital portfolios, fostering digital literacies, and offering innovative methods for analysing how literature and culture negotiate racialisation in the twenty-first-century digital landscape.

E. Contemporary African and Diasporic Literary Innovation

Contemporary African and diasporic literature continues to push the boundaries of form and content while engaging critically with questions of race, ethnicity, and cultural identity. Afolalu [20] explores "Still Nigerian: Navigating Race Through Digital Literacies," examining how immigrant youth of African origin use digital literacy to navigate ethnoracial tensions and craft racialised identities. This study, while not strictly literary analysis, provides crucial insights into the lived experiences and digital practices that inform contemporary diasporic narratives.

Contemporary African and diasporic literature continues to push the boundaries of form and content while engaging critically with questions of race, ethnicity, and cultural identity. Morton [21] examines how decolonising allegories operate across different media, analysing M. NourbeSe Philip's experimental poem Zong! and William Kentridge's animated film Mine. This work demonstrates how decolonising allegories in cultural texts foreground and contest neo-colonial dynamics, offering new ways to imagine the abolition of such systems. Makereti and Etherington [22] in "Where We Speak From: Some Global Visions from Oceania" invite readers to navigate literary and creative expressions emerging from vast global relations in Oceania, emphasising how Indigenous Oceania centres the 'global' vision. These studies reveal how contemporary writers are expanding the formal and thematic possibilities of postcolonial literature, moving beyond traditional realist modes to embrace experimental forms that reflect the complexity of contemporary global experience.

F. Identity Negotiation and Cultural Boundaries

A substantial body of research examines how literary and cultural texts explore the negotiation of multiple identities across cultural, national, and linguistic boundaries. This scholarship investigates how individuals and communities navigate complex intersections of racial, ethnic, national, and other identities in literature. Kim [23] contributes to this discourse through "De-/Re-Whitening Russianness: A Liminal Space of White Privileges Represented in Non-Summit," exploring how racialised identities operate within different cultural contexts and media representations. The research reveals how literary texts capture the lived experiences of identity negotiation, particularly for individuals who must navigate multiple, sometimes conflicting, cultural frameworks. These studies demonstrate the ongoing importance of literature as a site for exploring the complexities of identity formation and cultural belonging in increasingly globalised contexts.

Ma [24] examines "An Object In Between: The Past and Present of Lu Xun's "Diary of a Madman", tracing how Lu Xun's story, through intertextual recasting, incorporates postcolonial contexts and recent cyberculture, revealing how it projects a vision that resists historical impasse. Brown and Chang [25] in "Negotiating racial subjection: Analysing Black and Indigenous resistance from within colonial orders" analyze cases of Black Loyalists and Indigenous royalists, demonstrating how agency and resistance manifest from within imperial landscapes, providing a dynamic account of resistance and emancipation.

G. Theoretical Frameworks and Methodological Approaches

This cluster encompasses studies that demonstrate the application and evolution of theoretical frameworks and methodological approaches within cultural and literary analysis. D'Haen [26] discusses "Magic Realism and World Literature," arguing that the success of magical realism results from its resonance with changing literary-critical dispensations. This highlights the evolving nature of literary theory and its application to diverse literary productions. Other papers in this cluster, while not explicitly literary, offer insights into the broader application of cultural studies frameworks. Purnama [27] in "Indonesia's social groupings: Suku bangsa reevaluation and race and ethnicity recontextualization" examines Indonesia's "local" conception of suku bangsa and recontextualizes "global" constructs of race and ethnicity, providing a nuanced understanding of social groupings in non-Western contexts. This demonstrates the importance of context-specific analysis when applying broad theoretical concepts.

This updated literature review, drawing from the dataset, reveals a field characterized by increasing methodological sophistication, theoretical innovation, and expanding geographical and cultural scope. The integration of postcolonial theory, critical race theory, intersectionality, and decolonial thought continues to create new possibilities for understanding how English literature engages with questions of race and ethnicity across diverse contexts and historical periods.

III. METHODOLOGY

The corpus for this synthesis was constructed through a systematic search of the Scopus database, a comprehensive abstract and citation database of peer-reviewed literature. The search query was meticulously designed to capture relevant scholarship at the intersection of race, ethnicity, and literature, with a specific focus on cultural studies frameworks. The verbatim query used was:

> TITLE-ABS-KEY
> ("race and ethnicity" OR "racial representation" OR "ethnic identity") AND
> ("global literature" OR "world literature" OR transnational literatur*) AND
> (postcolonial OR "critical race" OR intersectionality OR decolonial)

This query targeted keywords within the title, abstract, and author keywords fields to ensure high relevance. To further refine the results, several filters were applied: the publication years were restricted to 2014–2025, only articles published in English were included, and the document type was limited to "articles" to ensure scholarly rigor and focus on primary research. This systematic search yielded an initial dataset of approximately 418 items, which formed the basis for subsequent screening and selection.

A. Screening and Selection of Papers

Following the initial corpus construction, a rigorous screening process was undertaken to identify the 15–20 best-fit papers for in-depth analysis. The primary objective of this screening was to focus specifically on studies that engaged with English literature through a cultural studies lens. The initial broad search query was necessary to capture the full breadth of relevant scholarship, but the subsequent screening allowed for a precise selection aligned with the paper's specific scope.

The screening criteria prioritized papers that demonstrated:

- Direct relevance to English literature: This involved identifying studies that analyzed specific English literary texts, authors, genres, or literary movements. Papers primarily focused on social sciences, psychology, or medical fields, even if they discussed race and ethnicity, were excluded unless they explicitly engaged with literary analysis.
- Clear cultural-studies framing: Papers were selected if they explicitly employed theoretical frameworks such as postcolonial theory, critical race theory, intersectionality, or decolonial studies in their analysis of literary phenomena.

This ensured that the selected studies moved beyond mere thematic discussions of race to engage with the underlying power structures and cultural dynamics.

- Diversity of regions/topics: An effort was made to include papers that covered a range of Anglophone literary traditions and diverse topics within the broad umbrella of race and ethnicity, ensuring a comprehensive synthesis.
- Recency: While the search spanned 2014–2025, preference was given to more recent publications to reflect the most current scholarly trends and debates.

From the initial 418 items, a careful review of titles and abstracts was conducted. Papers that did not meet the stringent criteria for direct engagement with English literature and a clear cultural studies framework were systematically excluded. This iterative process of refinement led to the final selection of 20 papers, which are representative of the most impactful and relevant scholarship within the defined scope.

B. Analysis Methods

The selected papers were subjected to a multi-layered analysis, combining thematic clustering with contextual interpretive and close reading. The first stage involved thematic clustering, where papers were grouped based on their primary focus, theoretical approaches, and the specific literary or cultural phenomena they addressed. This allowed for the identification of overarching trends and sub-fields within the broader area of English literature, race, and cultural studies. The themes identified included postcolonial literary geographies, medical humanities and literary intersectionality, contemporary African and diasporic literary innovation, identity negotiation and cultural boundaries, and methodological innovation in intersectionality.

The second stage involved contextual interpretive/close reading of each selected paper. This entailed a detailed examination of their arguments, methodologies, and findings, specifically through the lenses of postcolonial theory, Critical Race Theory (CRT), and intersectionality. The aim was to understand how each study contributed to the broader discourse, how it linked literary form to cultural context, and what theoretical interventions it offered. This approach allowed for a nuanced understanding of how scholars are engaging with complex issues of race and ethnicity in English literature, moving beyond superficial readings to uncover deeper connections between literary aesthetics and socio-political realities. The synthesis aimed to highlight not only what was being studied but also how it was being studied, emphasizing the theoretical and methodological sophistication of the field.

IV. CURRENT GAPS & FUTURE RESEARCH DIRECTIONS

Despite significant advances in the intersection of English literature, cultural studies, and critical examinations of race and ethnicity over the past decade, several critical gaps remain that present promising avenues for future research.

A. Multilingualism and Linguistic Hybridity

One significant area that requires deeper exploration is the role of multilingualism within Anglophone literature. While English literary studies tend to focus predominantly on texts in English, many authors navigate multiple languages and linguistic registers within their works. Future studies could analyze how code-switching, translation practices, and linguistic hybridity shape representations of racial and ethnic identity. Methodologies that combine literary analysis with sociolinguistics and translation studies would enrich this field.

B. Digital Humanities and Literary Reception

The advent of digital platforms offers untapped potential for studying how literary works addressing race and ethnicity are produced, disseminated, and received across digital communities. Future research could leverage digital humanities methods such as text mining, sentiment analysis,

and network analysis to capture a broader and more dynamic picture of literary reception and cultural impact in online spaces.

C. Regional and Genre-Specific Studies

While much scholarship engages with well-known Anglophone literatures, there remain notable regional and genre-specific blind spots, such as indigenous literatures, speculative fiction, graphic novels, and young adult literature. Comparative studies of racial identity constructions across different regions and genres would provide new insights into culturally specific and universal themes.

D. Expanding Intersectionality Beyond Binaries

Intersectional approaches have illuminated many interlocking oppressions, yet many studies still focus on limited identity categories, often race and gender alone. Future research should pursue more complex and nuanced intersectional analyses that consider additional axes of identity such as class, sexuality, disability, religion, and age. Employing sophisticated qualitative and quantitative methodologies could reveal deeper layers of oppression and resilience.

E. Nuances in the Conceptualisation of Whiteness

Further inquiry into the multiple and shifting meanings of whiteness within different cultural and historical contexts is necessary. This includes examining how whiteness operates as a relational category and its intersections with nationalism, colonial legacies, and global power structures.

Addressing these gaps will not only advance theoretical and methodological innovation but also foster a more inclusive and critically engaged understanding of race and ethnicity in English literary studies, attuned to the complexities of a globalised world.

F. Pathways for Future Research

Despite extensive theoretical work on race and ethnicity in literature, empirical and pedagogical dimensions remain underexplored. First, classroom reception studies are scarce because educators lack systematic insights into how students from diverse backgrounds interpret racialized texts. Nabasirye [28] demonstrates that author identity, including race, gender, and social status, significantly shapes reader responses across cultures, yet few studies replicate this in educational settings. Longitudinal surveys and focus groups should examine how students' own identities mediate comprehension and critical engagement with race-focused literature.

Second, curriculum design requires empirical grounding. While Reader–Response Theory underpins many calls for inclusive pedagogy, there is limited evidence on which instructional strategies most effectively foster nuanced discussions of race. Brooks and McNair [29] reveal that ethnicity influences reading responses, suggesting constructivist approaches must integrate students' cultural experiences. Experimental studies comparing culturally responsive reading tasks with standard curricula could identify best practices for maximizing engagement and critical reflection.

Third, the role of digital platforms in literary reception merits investigation. With social media and online forums increasingly framing students' interpretive communities, research should analyse how digital interactions affect understanding of racial themes. Mixed methods analyses combining discourse analysis of online discussions with reader surveys would clarify how digital literacies shape contemporary reception.

Finally, pedagogical materials and assessments must be rethought. Existing anthologies often prioritise canonical texts, reinforcing narrow perspectives. Empirical audits of course syllabi and textbooks can quantify representation gaps and examine the impacts on student outcomes. Collaborative action research with teachers could develop and test alternative anthologies that balance canonical and emerging voices, assessing their effects on students' cultural awareness and empathy.

Addressing these gaps requires interdisciplinary collaboration among literary scholars, educators, and social scientists. By integrating empirical reception studies into literary curricula and designing pedagogical interventions informed by reader–response and critical race theories, future research can transform the teaching and learning of race in literature while ensuring both academic rigour and practical relevance.

V. CONCLUSION

In sum, this review has traced how postcolonial, critical race, and decolonial frameworks, when applied across diverse Anglophone and non-Anglophone literatures, illuminate the complex intersections of race, ethnicity, and identity in global texts. By foregrounding voices from South Asia, the Middle East, Africa, Indigenous traditions, and diasporic communities, the analysis moves beyond Eurocentric canons to reveal literature's power both to reflect entrenched inequalities and to envision alternative futures.

Crucially, these insights carry significant implications for pedagogy and curriculum development. Instructors should design syllabi that pair canonical works with contemporary and marginalised voices and develop culturally responsive reading tasks that draw on students' own backgrounds. Empirical reception studies that track interpretive responses over time can provide systematic insights into how diverse students engage with racially themed texts. Research should also explore the role of digital literacies, analysing how online platforms and social media shape students' interpretive communities and peer interactions. Furthermore, systematic audits of syllabi and anthologies are necessary to assess representational diversity and guide the creation of more inclusive curricula. Integrating digital storytelling and critical archival practices into literary and cultural studies not only expands research methodologies but also advances equity by centring the voices of historically marginalised communities. By empowering students to create and curate digital narratives and archives, scholars can foster inclusive pedagogies and generate new forms of cultural memory that challenge traditional canons and documentation practices. These digital interventions promise to reshape both classroom engagement and broader public discourse on race and ethnicity.

Addressing these areas will foster critical engagement, cultural empathy, and global awareness. It will also ensure that the study of race in literature becomes not only an

academic inquiry but also a transformative educational practice. By integrating empirical reception studies with culturally grounded pedagogy and sustained curriculum reform, future research and teaching can equip learners to navigate and reshape an increasingly interconnected world while contributing to more equitable and critically engaged literary scholarship.

CONFLICT OF INTEREST

The author declares no conflict of interest.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

The author was responsible for conceptualizing the study, conducting the research, analyzing the data, and preparing the manuscript.

REFERENCES

[1] E. Said, "Orientalism," *The Georgia Review*, vol. 31, no. 1, pp. 162–206, 1977.

[2] K. Crenshaw. Demarginalizing the intersection of race and sex: A black feminist critique of antidiscrimination doctrine, feminist theory and antiracist politics. [Online]. Available: https://chicagounbound.uchicago.edu/uclf/vol1989/iss1/8/

[3] A. Quijano, "Coloniality of power, eurocentrism, and latin America," *Nepantla: Views from South 1.3*, vol. 1, no. 3, pp. 533–580, 2000.

[4] N. Hemamalini and C. Suguna, *Indian Literature and Contemporary Issues*, Lumina Literati Publishing, 2025.

[5] S. B. Sawant, "Postcolonial theory: Meaning and significance," in *Proc. National Seminar on Postmodern Literary Theory and Literature*, 2012, pp. 27–28.

[6] B. Ashcroft, G. Griffiths, and H. Tiffin, *The Empire Writes Back: Theory and Practice in Post-Colonial Literatures*, London and New York: Routledge, 2002.

[7] G. C. Spivak, *Can the Subaltern Speak*, Basingstoke: University of Illinois Press, 1988.

[8] H. Bhabha, *The Location of Culture*, New York: Routledge & CRC Press, 1994.

[9] W. D. Mignolo, *The Darker Side of Western Modernity: Global Futures, Decolonial Options*, Durham: Duke University Press, 2011.

[10] N. Bugeja, *Postcolonial Memoir in the Middle East: Rethinking the Liminal in Mashriqi Writing*, London: Routledge, 2012.

[11] W. Yenjela, "The nairobi castaways: Novelistic representations of slum dwellers," *Les Cahiers d'Afrique de l'Est/The East African Review*, no. 58, 2024.

[12] T. Rommel. Literary studies. [Online]. Available: https://companions.digitalhumanities.org/DH/?chapter=content/9781405103213_chapter_8.html

[13] A. Goldiş, "Meltzl and world literature studies: A case of mirrored colonialism," *Revista Transilvania*, vol. 4, pp. 31–41, 2024.

[14] S. Helgesson. World literature and decolonization. [Online]. Available: https://www.divaportal.org/smash/get/diva2:1887321/FULLTEXT01.pdf

[15] G. Adeoba, "Jay Wright's errant texts and the idea of world literature," *Comparative Literature Studies*, vol. 61, no. 4, pp. 682–702, 2024.

[16] T. J. Gajarawala, N. Srivastava, R. S. Rajan *et al.*, *The Bloomsbury Handbook of Postcolonial Print Cultures*. New York: Bloomsbury Publishing, 2023.

[17] H. Liu, "Immunological poetics and postcolonial echoes: Traversing the medical narratives from T.S. Eliot to J.M. Coetzee," *Literature Compass*, vol. 22, no. 1, Art. no. e70017, 2025.

[18] G. Carpio, "Toward a digital ethnic studies: Race, technology, and the classroom," *American Quarterly*, vol. 70, no. 3, pp. 613–617, 2018.

[19] D. J. Kim, "Archives, models, and methods for critical approaches to identities: Representing race and ethnicity in the digital humanities," Ph.D. dissertation, University of California, Los Angeles, 2015.

[20] L. Afolalu, "I'm still Nigerian: Navigating race through digital literacies," *Journal of Literacy Research*, vol. 56, no. 3, pp. 268–297, 2024.

[21] S. Morton, "Decolonizing allegory and anti-imperialist critique in the longue durée of extractivism," *Literature, Critique, and Empire Toda*, vol. 59, no. 1, pp. 73–84, 2024.

[22] T. Makereti and B. Etherington, "Where we speak from—some global visions from Oceania," *Literature Compass*, vol. 21, no. 10-12, Art. no. e70008, 2024.

[23] S. Kim, "De-/re-whitening Russianness: A liminal space of white privileges represented in Non-Summit," *Television & New Media*, vol. 25, no. 3, pp. 145–168, 2023.

[24] X. Ma, "An object in between: The past and present of Lu Xun's "Diary of a madman"," *Journal of Asian Studies*, vol. 83, no. 1, pp. 41–61, 2024.

[25] O. R. Brown and A. Chang, "Negotiating racial subjection: Analysing black and indigenous resistance from within colonial orders," *International Theory*, vol. 17, no. 2, pp. 13, 151–174, 2025.

[26] D'Haen, "Magic realism and world literature," *Journal of Foreign Languages & Cultures*, vol. 8, no. 2, Art. no. 13, 2024.

[27] A. Purnama, "Indonesia's social groupings: Suku bangsa reevaluation and race and ethnicity recontextualization," *Ethnicities*, vol. 48, no. 5, pp. 820–845, 2025.

[28] D. Nabasirye, "The influence of author identity on literary reception," *European Journal of Literature Studies*, vol. 1, no. 1, pp. 13–23, 2024.

[29] W. Brooks, and J. C. McNair, ""But this story of mine is not unique": A review of research on African American children's literature," *Review of Educational Research*, vol. 79, no. 1, pp.125–162, 2009.